

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/063,394	FENG, KAI DI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen Rossoshek	2825	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Helen Rossoshek.

 (3) Mohammad Rahman (Reg. No. 43,029)
(8/16/05)

 (2) Frederick W. Gibb (Reg. No. 37,629) (8/11/05).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8/11/05, 8/16/05
Time: 9:00 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 19-24

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed modifications for claim 19, such as: incorporate claims 19-21 into claims 22-24 or cancel claim 19. Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claim 19 and incorporate claims 20 and 21 into claims 22-24 by making them dependent from claim 24 with amending claim 24. Discussion about modification of the claim 22 took place on 08/16/2005 with Mohammad Rahman. Agreement was reached.